AGENDA

1. Approval of draft agenda and draft minutes of February 4, 2015. Minutes were approved as submitted.

2. Old business/follow up on matters raised by minutes of February 4, 2015. The event was well-attended and those present indicated that the concerns that had been raised were addressed.

   C. Pearson reported on CAC/BSP the 3 most recent meetings (2/9, 2/23, and 3/9). The committee is getting data from the Strategic Planning Town Hall meetings out soon. The issue of what constitutes “faculty service” and support for faculty scholarship were topics of discussion. The Chancellor acknowledged that the Budget is a complicated process and has had unintended consequences.

   T. Wrobel reported on the 3/10 AAAC meeting in which the Provost announced her success in bringing upcoming improvements in the toilet facilities in the Dance Studio. Another issue that was discussed was clarifying what is meant by “review of programs” in the context of AAAC’s role. Does that pertain to only “new programs?” Or, should AAAC assume responsibility of an ongoing review of existing programs? T. Wrobel also gave an updated report on the Provost Search Committee. A further item was the voting status of student representatives on Governing Faculty Committees, and whether student members should be included when constituting a quorum.

4. Librarian seats on Faculty Council, AAAC, Student Concerns, Graduate Programs, Extended Learning and Service, CESF – V. Prygoski
   V. Prygoski reviewed the request for designated Librarian seats on several committees. The discussion focused on issues related to the value-added perspective on various committee due to the out of classroom contact librarians had with students. A motion was passed to propose a CODE change that would reflect designated seats on 2 committees: Student Concerns and Extended Learning.
5. Administrator searches – Provost, CAS Dean, SHPS Dean
   The CAS Dean’s Search Committee has generated candidate interview questions. The SHPS Dean’s ad has been published in the Chronicle of Higher Education.

6. Faculty Code – committee responses
   It was recognized that Faculty Council Chair, L. Alexander, had done an outstanding job of pulling together all of the feedback/suggestions from the respective faculty committees on suggested CODE changes. She volunteered to make all of the “housekeeping” changes; e.g. updates of names, titles, offices, etc.
   The CODE changes will be presented to the governing faculty at the upcoming March 19th meeting. (Faculty Council agreed to most of the suggested changes that had been proposed. These are in an attached document to these minutes) The Ombudsman will be added to the CODE, along with the responsibility of conducting Administrator surveys by Faculty Council.

7. Governing Faculty meeting at 2:00 – 4:00 p.m. on Thursday, March 19, 2015 in Michigan Rooms C and D
   The Women’s Commission was granted 10 minutes on the Governing Faculty meeting agenda, as well as the General Education Curriculum Committee

8. Matters arising
   There was no time in this meeting to address the concerns raised by Mark Perry. It was agreed that they will be addressed at the March 25th meeting. Faculty will be informed of the SACUA report and a link to this report will be provided to them.