From Adam:
1) Budget issues: how the model works, unanticipated consequences of the model, misunderstandings of the model, potential alternatives to the model
2) Strategic planning: identifying priorities, implementation, communication, review and revision, governance issues related to implementation
3) Broader governance issues: organizational structure, consultation between administration and faculty

From Hiba:
1) Faculty Governance (Tom and all raised good pointers; I would like to add exploring her perspective on importance/value associated with faculty voice, we can use the example of the SON proposal; We as faculty voted to support creation of SON; Is she going to support or ignore voice of faculty?)
2) Faculty retention and tenure and promotion
3) Money and Funds and enhancing visibility in the community and AA

From Tom:
Faculty governance is the broad issue, and I think we need to discuss what we mean by that before we speak with the new Chancellor. For instance:

1) What role do we want to play in strategic planning and goal setting? That is, where do we see ourselves in determining the future of the institution?
2) How do we view the independence/interdependence of the different schools? To what degree are they ever autonomous given we have currently a zero-sum budget process?
3) How do we see ourselves with regard to the community? How far do we extend ourselves? How do we balance the demands of the campus with the needs of the outside including the financial incentives to extend ourselves?

From Aviva:
the decentralized budget model and its implications for interdisciplinary work and other curricular matters.

From Suzanne:
I agree that a discussion of faculty governance is a key issue.